# COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My correct residence, post office address and citizenship are stated below next to my name.

I believe myself to be the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original and first joint inventor (if more than one name is listed below) of the subject matter which is disclosed and claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

### "OVERFLOW SENSITIVE ARITHMETIC INSTRUCTION OPTIMIZATION USING CHAINING"

The specification of this subject matter:							
	X	is attached hereto.					
		was filed on	;				
		was assigned serial No	·				
		which was amended on					
do not be my inversion to the sale in the	pelieve to nation the nathered he Unite been pa ion in an natives patent a I ackno ion in ac I hereby nation invent	that the claimed invention ereof, or patented or despited or more than one year and States of America monatented or made the subjuty country foreign to the or assigns more than two pplication) prior to this application of the coordance with 37 C.F.R. It is claim foreign priority be centor's certificate listed to the coordance with sentor's certificate listed to the coordance with sentory to the coordance with sen	se information which is ma	in the United Scation in any coat the same wants application, ate issued befor an application atent application at the extension of an application at the extension of an application at the extension of an application of a possible and application of a possible at a	tates of America before buntry before my as not in public use or on and that the invention ore the date of this on filed by me or my legal on) or six months (for a camination of this sy foreign application(s) foreign application for		
Number	r	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No		
Number	r	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No		
Number	<u> </u>	Country	Month/Day/Year Filed	Yes	No		

#### PROVISIONAL PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under listed below:	35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United	States provisional application(s)
Application Number	Filing Date	
Application Number	Filing Date	

#### PARENT PATENT APPLICATION(S)

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in these prior United States application(s) in the manner provided by 35 U.S.C. §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

10/686,513	October 14, 2003	Pending
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
10/002,437	November 1, 2001	Pending
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
09/439,113	November 12, 1999	Issued
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)
Application No.	Filing Date	Status (Issued, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby appoint David B. Ritchie, Registration No. 31,562; Robert E. Krebs, Registration No. 25,885; Marc S. Hanish, Registration No. 42,626; John P. Schaub, Registration No. 42,125; Adrienne Yeung, Registration No. 44,000; Steven J. Robbins, Registration No. 40,299; Thierry K. Lo, Registration No. 49,097; William Samuel Niece, Registration No.: 47,824; J. Davis Gilmer, Registration No. 44,711; William E. Winters, Registration No. 42,232; Masako Ando, (37 C.F.R.§10.9 (b)); John Klaas Uilkema, Registration No. 20,282; Becky L. Troutman, Registration No. 36,703; Hal J. Bohner, Registration No. 27,856; Marc D. Foodman, Reg. No. 34,110; Elaine K. Lee, Reg. No. 41,936; Anirma R. Gupta, Reg. No. 38,275; Paul D. Sorkin No. 39,039; Sean P. Lewis, Reg. No. 42,798; Marilyn E. Glaubensklee, Reg. No. 35,521; Noreen A. Krall, Reg. No. 39,734; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Bernice B. Chen, Reg. No. 42,403; Jeffrey L. Myers, Reg. No. 44,252; Monica D. Ward, Reg. No. 40,696; and Arien C. Ferrell, Reg. No. 46,696; as attorneys of record with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please send all correspondence and direct all telephone calls to:

David B. Ritchie Thelen Reid & Priest, LLP P.O. Box 640640 San Jose, CA 95164-0640 Telephone (408) 292-5800

I, the undersigned, declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing therefrom.

Docket No. SUN-P8743 (811173-000333)

FULL NAME OF	FIRST Mame	MIDDLE INHIBI(S)		F111.A	
INVENTOR 1		•		Schwabe	
Judith City		State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizensh		lp
CITIZENSHIP	Cleveland Heights	Ohio		United States	
POST OFFICE Code	Number and Street	City	State or Country	<b>Zip</b> √	
ADDFIES:3	1(i51 Glenmont Rd,	Cleveland Heights	Ohio	44118	····

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that ell statements made upon information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1.301 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

in Scrivaba Date

## 37 C.F.R. §1.56 Duty to disclos inf rmation mat rial t patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1,97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.